

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/753,325	12/30/2000	George Benda		1209	
7590 03/01/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Clifford H. Kraft 320 Robin Hill Dr.			MCKANE, ELIZABETH L		
Naperville, IL 60540			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1744		
		DATE MAILED: 03/01/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/753,325	BENDA, GEORGE 0				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Leigh McKane	1744				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replaced in the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e. cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<b></b> •					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application	1.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,14 and 15</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6,7,10 and 13</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8, 9, 11, 12, 16, 17</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not receive	ved.				
		•				
Attachmant/sl						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	ry (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 090701.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/753,325 Page 2

Art Unit: 1744

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 6, 7, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Faour (U.S. Patent No. 6,599,284 B2).

Faour teaches an apparatus for the release of an active fluid agent wherein the apparatus includes a means 1 for holding a quantity of active fluid agent and a means 27 for releasing the active fluid agent into a surrounding environment. The means for releasing the active fluid agent is a soluble plug which is made of a material, which through selective dissolution allows the passageway 25 to become unblocked. See Figure 6. The osmotic pressure building up in the reservoir 24 provides the pressure necessary to expel the active agent therefrom.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

.....

Application/Control Number: 09/753,325

Art Unit: 1744

- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Faour.

With respect to claim 13, Faour teaches that the active agent may be air purifiers or "other agents that benefit the environment of use". See col.5, lines 64-67. Given this teaching, it is deemed obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ a perfume as the active agent, since perfumes act on the air and would "benefit the environment of use."

## Claim Objections

6. Claim 14 is objected to because of the following informalities: In numbered line 13, "order" should be –odor—and in numbered line 16, "oddor" should be –odor—. Appropriate correction is required.

# Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 1-5, 14, and 15 are allowed.
- 8. Claims 8, 9, 11, 12, 16, and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/753,325

Art Unit: 1744

9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Although Faour teaches a reservoir of active agent and a release orifice comprised of a

compound selective polymer, the compound selective polymer itself does not exert a pressure on
the reservoir to expel the active agent, nor does Faour teach polystyrene or
polyalphamethylstyrene as the means for releasing the active fluid agent. In addition, Faour fails
to teach or suggest a reservoir capable of detecting odor causing compounds which contracts or
expands in their presence.

#### Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lowell, Jr. et al teaches a mechanochemically response polymeric film which expands and contracts in the response to a chemical presence. Stetter et al discloses a sensor which impedance changes upon exposure to a chemical. Porter et al discloses a microcantilever which deflects in response to the presence of a chemical.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leigh McKane whose telephone number is 571-272-1275. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Wednesday (7:15 am-4:45 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Warden can be reached on 571-272-1275. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/753,325

Art Unit: 1744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leigh McKane Leigh McKane Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744

elm 23 February 2004